

# The Impact of Video Surveillance Technology on Future Video Coding Standards

Dr. Michael J. Horowitz Office of the CTO CoVi Technologies

> ITU-T VICA Workshop 22-23 July 2005, ITU Headquarter, Geneva



# **Talk Overview**

# ITU-T o Background

- Evolution of video surveillance
- System overview
- Video coding standards in surveillance
- o Video feature requirements
- o System cost considerations
- o Concluding remarks

	<b>Evolution of Video Surveillance</b>				
ITU-T	Imaging	Vidicon (B&W)	CCD	Megapixel IP Cameras	
	Transport	Coax	Fiber	RF UTP	IP/Ethernet
	Storage	VCR		Digital Multiplex DVR NVR	
	1	970 1980	1990	2000	

ľ



## **Evolution of Video Surveillance**



### Analog Video to DVR (NTSC with CIF Encoding)

Megapixel IP Camera (1280x720)



# **Video System Overview**

ITU-T

#### Centralized IP Video

**Distributed IP Video** 







# Video Coding Standards in Surveillance

## **ITU-T** o Simplifies exchange of video information

- Component-to-component
- Manufacturer-to-manufacturer

## o Compatible with feature requirements

o Reasonable impact on system cost



# **Video Feature Requirements**

# ITU-T

o Temporal scalability (active JVT topic)

- Graceful degradation of archive video
- Heterogeneous environments
  - Guard on patrol
  - Central monitoring station
  - Forensic analysis

o Error resilience 🗸

# o Flexible source formats 🗸



ITU-T

# Feature Requirements (continued)

- Spatial scalability (active JVT topic)
  - Graceful degradation of archive video
  - Heterogeneous environments
  - Selectively code regions of interest





# Feature Requirements (continued)

- o Low latency (<350ms one-way delay) ✓
  - Real-time viewing
  - Remote camera control
- o Trick modes for archival playback 🗸
  - Intra-pictures
  - SI and SP pictures (H.264)
- o Content retrieval from archive 🗸
  - Time stamp-based
  - Alarm event-based (meta data)



ITU-T

# Feature Requirements (continued)

- o Video data integrity ⇔
  - Error detection
  - Tamper resistance

### o Alarm events from video content ×

- Motion detection
- Object tracking
- Face recognition





# **System Cost Considerations**

## o Computational complexity ×

- Encoding at camera
  - Hardware cost
  - Power dissipation  $\Rightarrow$  Heat
    - Increased sensor noise
    - Increased mechanical cost
- Multiple simultaneous decoders

## o Coding efficiency ⇔

- Video transport: cost per kilobits / second
- Video storage: cost per megabyte



# **Concluding Remarks**

 Existing & emerging video coding standards meet most needs

• Recommended future enhancements

- Reduced computational complexity
- Enhanced tools for video data integrity
- Tools to facilitate video event generation
- Improved coding efficiency